



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2013_AJEA_4786
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF SULFONYL- UREA HERBICIDES FOR THE CONTROL OF ITCH GRASS (<i>Rottboelia cochinchinensis</i> clayton) ON GRAIN SORGHUM (<i>Sorghum bicolour</i> L.) IN NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	General comments and suggestions are in the text. Introduction information must be actualized. <i>Rottboelia cochinchinensis</i> is the species, really or not!!! The results description must be summarized. The results must be better discussed.	The general comments have been accepted and taken care of by me during correction of the reviewed manuscript. There are only two types of <i>Rottboelia</i> spp. In Nigeria, <i>R. cochinchinensis</i> and <i>R. exaltata</i> but the most dominant and troublesome is the <i>R. cochinchinensis</i> the species I worked with. I don't agree that the result should be summarised because it is going to mar and hide many details information's on what has happened on the field as a result of the application of the various treatments during the experiment. The result was better discussed removing all the several occurrences of the word "significantly higher" and replacing them with "better or more or gave" depending upon where applicable.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Scientific names of species must be in italics. The structure of some paragraphs should be better.	That has been effected in the corrections but the paragraphs are now according to the SDI Template sent to me by the reviewer or Managing Editor.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript is of interest and should be published after corrections and improvement.	Thanks for the positive comment on the manuscript.